

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

STEVEN BROOMFIELD,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROMEO ARANAS et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00427-MMD-WGC

ORDER

Plaintiff, who has counsel for the limited purpose of settlement, previously filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1-1, 4.) The Court has not yet screened the complaint. On December 29, 2016, Plaintiff, *pro se*, filed a motion to dismiss the case in its entirety. (ECF No. 8). On January 5, 2017, Plaintiff's attorney filed a notice of voluntary dismissal. (ECF No. 9).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), a plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing "a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The Court grants Plaintiff's motion to voluntarily dismiss (ECF No. 8) this action because no responsive pleading has been filed in this case. As such, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice.


For the foregoing reasons, it is ordered that the motion for voluntary dismissal (ECF No. 8) is granted.

It is further ordered that this action is dismissed in its entirety without prejudice.

1 It is further ordered that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 4)  
2 is denied as moot.

3 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment accordingly.

4 DATED THIS 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 2017.

5  
6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 MIRANDA M. DU  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28